

Howe Gastmeier Chapnik Limited
2000 Argentia Road, Plaza One, Suite 203
Mississauga, Ontario, Canada L5N 1P7
t: 905.826.4044

Noise Feasibility Study

Proposed Residential Development

North of Britannia Road and West of Regional Road 25


Town of Milton, Ontario

Prepared for:


Mattamy Homes Ltd.
7880 Keele Street, Unit 3
Vaughan, Ontario
L4K 4G7



Prepared by


Victor Garcia, PEng

Reviewed by


Sheeba Paul, MEng, PEng

January 18, 2024

HGC Project No. 02200974



VERSION CONTROL

Noise Feasibility Study,
North of Britannia Road and West of Regional Road 25,
Town of Milton, Ontario.

Ver.	Date	Version Description / Changelog	Prepared By
0	July 27, 2023	Noise Feasibility Study in support of approvals process.	V. Garcia/ A. Rogers/ S. Paul
1	January 18, 2024	Updated Noise Feasibility Study in support of approvals process to address comments provided by the Region of Halton.	V. Garcia/ S. Paul

Limitations

This document was prepared solely for the addressed party and titled project or named part thereof, and should not be relied upon or used for any other project without obtaining prior written authorization from HGC Engineering. HGC Engineering accepts no responsibility or liability for any consequence of this document being used for a purpose other than for which it was commissioned. Any person or party using or relying on the document for such other purpose agrees, and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify HGC Engineering for all loss or damage resulting therefrom. HGC Engineering accepts no responsibility or liability for this document to any person or party other than the party by whom it was commissioned.

Any conclusions and/or recommendations herein reflect the judgment of HGC Engineering based on information available at the time of preparation, and were developed in good faith on information provided by others, as noted in the report, which has been assumed to be factual and accurate. Changed conditions or information occurring or becoming known after the date of this report could affect the results and conclusions presented.



ACOUSTICS



NOISE



VIBRATION

www.hgcengineering.com

Table of Contents

1	Introduction & Summary	1
2	Site Description & Noise Sources.....	2
3	Noise Level Criteria	2
3.1	Road Traffic Noise	2
4	Traffic Noise Predictions	4
4.1	Road Traffic.....	4
4.2	Road Traffic Noise Predictions	5
5	Traffic Noise Recommendations	6
5.1	Outdoor Living Areas.....	6
5.2	Indoor Living Areas and Ventilation Requirements.....	7
5.3	Building Facade Constructions.....	7
5.4	Warning Clauses.....	10
6	Impact of the Development on Itself	11
7	Impact of the Development on the Environment.....	12
8	Summary of Recommendations.....	12
8.1	Implementation.....	13

Figure 1 – Key Plan

Figure 2a/b – Proposed Site Plan Showing Prediction Locations, South/North Block

Appendix A – Responses to Comments from the Region of Halton

Appendix B – Road Traffic Information

Appendix C – Sample STAMSON 5.04 Output

1 Introduction & Summary

HGC Engineering was retained by Mattamy Homes Ltd. to conduct a noise feasibility study for proposed residential development located north of Britannia Road and west of Regional Road 25 in Milton, Ontario. Lands surrounding the subject site are a mixture of existing, proposed and future residential lands. The study is required by the Municipality as part of the planning and approvals process.

This report has been updated to include updated site plans for the north and south block, and includes responses to comments from the Region of Halton included in Appendix A.

The primary noise sources impacting the site were determined to be road traffic on Britannia Road and Regional Road 25. A secondary source of noise is road traffic on Etheridge Avenue. Relevant road traffic data was obtained from the Region of Halton and the BA Group and was used to predict future traffic sound levels at the locations of the proposed residential façades and in the outdoor living areas. The predicted sound levels were compared to the guidelines of the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), Region and the Municipality.

The sound level predictions indicate that the future road traffic sound levels will exceed MECP and the Regional guidelines at the proposed development. Air conditioning systems are required for all of the proposed buildings and the future building on the holdout property. Upgraded building and glazing constructions will be required for the proposed buildings closest to Regional Road 25 and Britannia Road (including the future building on the holdout property). Preliminary acoustical requirements are specified in this report. Warning clauses are recommended to inform future residents of the road traffic noise impacts and to address sound level excesses to notify residents of the nearby proposed commercial uses.



2 Site Description & Noise Sources

The proposed residential development is located north of Britannia Road and west of Regional Road 25, in the Town of Milton, Ontario. Figure 1 shows an aerial photo illustrating the location of the site. Proposed site plans prepared by Core Architects dated December 21, 2023, for the South Block in Figure 2a, and for the North Block in Figure 2b, also showing the prediction locations. The proposed development will include several midrise buildings ranging in height from 11 to 15-storeys, along with associated roadways. A holdout property is located at 6110 Regional Road 25.

HGC Engineering personnel visited the site in January 2023. The acoustical environment surrounding the site is urban in nature. The primary sources of sound impacting the site are vehicular traffic on Regional Road 25 and Britannia Road. A secondary source of sound is road traffic on Etheridge Avenue.

The surrounding lands are existing and future residential lands. The Halton Waste Management site is located approximately 700 m to the south of Britannia Road. The Town of Milton Civic Operations Centre is located approximately 500 m to the south of Britannia Road. These areas are not considered to be significant sources of stationary noise due to the significant background sounds from road traffic from both Britannia Road and Regional Road 25. There are no significant sources of stationary noise within 500 m of the subject site.

3 Noise Level Criteria

3.1 Road Traffic Noise

Guidelines for acceptable levels of road traffic noise impacting residential developments are given in the MECP publication NPC-300, “Environmental Noise Guideline Stationary and Transportation Sources – Approval and Planning”, release date October 21, 2013, and are listed in Table 1 below. The values in Table 1 are energy equivalent (average) sound levels [L_{EQ}] in units of A-weighted decibels [dBA].



Table 1: MECP Road Traffic Noise Criteria (dBA)

Area	Daytime L_{EQ} (16 hour) Road	Nighttime L_{EQ} (8 hour) Road
Outdoor Living Area	55 dBA	--
Inside Living/Dining Rooms	45 dBA	45 dBA
Inside Bedrooms	45 dBA	40 dBA

Daytime refers to the period between 07:00 and 23:00, while nighttime refers to the period between 23:00 and 07:00. The term "Outdoor Living Area" (OLA) is used in reference to an outdoor patio, a backyard, a terrace or other area where passive recreation is expected to occur. Balconies that are less than 4 m in depth are not considered to be outdoor living areas under MECP and the Region of Halton guidelines.

The MECP guidelines allow the daytime sound levels in an OLA to be exceeded by up to 5 dBA, without mitigation, if warning clauses are placed in the purchase and rental agreements to the property. Where OLA sound levels exceed 60 dBA, physical mitigation is recommended to reduce the OLA sound level to below 60 dBA and as close to 55 dBA as technically, economically and administratively feasible. Note that not all OLAs necessarily require protection, if there are other protected outdoor areas accessible to the residents. The Town of Milton has a maximum fence height of 2.4 m along major roadways. The remainder of the required barrier height can be made up with an earth berm. The Region of Halton has a minimum acoustic barrier height of 2.4 m and maximum height of 3.5 m along regional roads.

A central air conditioning system as an alternative means of ventilation to open windows is required for dwellings where nighttime sound levels outside bedroom or living/dining room windows exceed 60 dBA or daytime sound levels outside bedroom or living/dining room windows exceed 65 dBA. Forced-air ventilation with ducts sized to accommodate the future installation of air conditioning is required when nighttime sound levels at bedroom or living/dining room windows are in the range of 51 to 60 dBA or when daytime sound levels at bedroom or living/dining room windows are in the range of 56 to 65 dBA.

Building components such as walls, windows and doors must be designed to achieve indoor sound level criteria when the plane of window nighttime sound level is greater than 60 dBA or the daytime sound level is greater than 65 dBA due to road traffic noise.

Warning clauses to notify future residents of possible excesses are also required when nighttime sound levels exceed 50 dBA at the plane of the bedroom or living/dining room window and daytime sound levels exceed 55 dBA in the outdoor living area and at the plane of the bedroom or living/dining room window due to road traffic.

4 Traffic Noise Predictions

4.1 Road Traffic

Road traffic data for Regional Road No. 25 and Britannia Road were obtained from the Region of Halton in the form of annual average daily traffic (AADT) for the year 2031 and are included in Appendix B. A commercial percentage of 6% was applied to both roadways and was evenly split into 3% medium trucks and 3% heavy trucks. A day/night split of 90%/10% in conjunction with a speed limit of 70 km/h was applied to the roadways.

Projected road traffic data to the year 2028 for Etheridge Avenue was obtained from the BA Group in an email dated January 27, 2023 (included in Appendix B). The data was provided in the form of AADT volumes. The data was further projected to the year 2034 using a 2.5%/year growth rate. A heavy vehicle percentage of 1.0% was provided in the data. A commercial vehicle percentage of 1.6% was calculated, split into 0.6% medium trucks and 1.0% heavy trucks. A day/night split of 90%/10% was used in the analysis. A speed limit of 50 km/h was assumed for Etheridge Avenue.



Table 2: Projected Road Traffic Data

Road Name		Cars	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Total
Regional Road No. 25 <i>Projected to 2031</i>	Daytime	43 146	1 377	1 377	45 900
	Nighttime	4 794	153	153	5 100
	Total	47 940	1 530	1 530	51 000
Britannia Road <i>Projected to 2031</i>	Daytime	43 146	1 377	1 377	45 900
	Nighttime	4 794	153	153	5 100
	Total	47 940	1 530	1 530	51 000
Etheridge Avenue <i>Projected to 2034</i>	Daytime	9 392	57	95	9 544
	Nighttime	1 044	6	11	1 061
	Total	10 436	63	106	10 605

4.2 Road Traffic Noise Predictions

To assess the levels of road traffic noise which will impact the site in the future, predictions were made using STAMSON version 5.04, a computer algorithm developed by the MECP. A sample STAMSON output is included in Appendix C.

The proposed building footprints as indicated on the site plan were used in the analysis. Sound levels were also predicted at the plane of the top storey bedroom/living/dining room windows during daytime and nighttime hours to investigate ventilation requirements.

Prediction locations were chosen around the proposed development, as shown in Figure 2, to obtain a good representation of the future sound levels at various façades with exposure to the roadways. The results of these predictions are summarized in Table 3. The acoustic requirements may be subject to modifications if the site plan is changed significantly.

Table 3: Predicted Future Sound Levels [dBA], Without Mitigation

Prediction Location	Description	Daytime – in OLA LEQ(16)	Daytime - at Façade LEQ(16)	Nighttime - at Façade LEQ(8)
[A]	North façade of Building 1	--	69	62
[B]	East façade of Building 1	--	72	65
[C]	West façade of Building 1	--	61	54
[D]	East façade of Building 2	--	73	66
[E]	West façade of Building 3	--	62	56
[F]	North façade of Building 4	--	69	62
[G]	East façade of Building 4	--	73	67
[H]	South façade of Building 4	--	73	66
[I]	West façade of Building 4	--	66	60
[J]	East façade of Building 7	--	72	66
[K]	South façade of Building 5	--	67	61
[L]	16 th Floor terrace of Building 1	<55*	--	--
[M]	12th Floor terrace of Building 3	55*	--	--
[N]	15 th Floor terrace of Building 4	57*	--	--
[O]	13th Floor terrace of Building 6	58*	--	--

Note: *Assuming a standard minimum 1.07 m high solid parapet along the roof edge.

5 Traffic Noise Recommendations

With no mitigation, there will be sound level excesses at the proposed buildings within the development. The following discussion outlines recommendations for ventilation requirements, upgraded building façade constructions, and warning clauses to achieve the noise criteria stated in Table 1.

5.1 Outdoor Living Areas

Balconies and terraces may be provided for the individual units of the proposed buildings that are less than 4 m in depth. These balconies and terraces are not considered to be outdoor living areas for assessment under MECP guidelines, and therefore physical mitigation will not be required. Since there are common outdoor amenity terraces provided for the buildings in the development, large private terraces are not considered OLAs under MECP guidelines.

The predicted sound level on the rooftop terraces (prediction locations [L] to [O]) are 58 dBA or less with the inclusion of a standard minimum 1.07 m high solid parapet around the area. The 3 dBA sound level excess is acceptable to the MECP with the use of a noise warning clause. No further mitigation is required.

At grade amenity spaces are located at the west end of the site and are shielded by the various buildings from road traffic noise. The at grade amenity spaces are active areas as there is a walkway through the majority of the space. Furthermore, each building includes a rooftop amenity space where sound levels are expected to be 58 dBA or below. Mitigation is not recommended for at grade amenity spaces.

5.2 Indoor Living Areas and Ventilation Requirements

Central Air Conditioning

The predicted sound levels outside the windows of the proposed buildings (including the future building on the holdout property) will be greater than 65 dBA during the daytime hours and/or greater than 60 dBA during the nighttime hours. To address these excesses, the MECP guidelines recommend that the dwelling units be equipped with central air conditioning systems, so that the windows can be closed.

Window or through-the-wall air conditioning units are not recommended for any commercial or residential units because of the noise they produce and because the units penetrate through the exterior wall which degrades the overall noise insulating properties of the envelope. Acceptable units are those housed in their own closet with an access door for maintenance. The location, installation and sound ratings of the outdoor air conditioning devices should minimize noise impacts and comply with criteria of MECP publication NPC-300, as applicable. The guidelines also recommend warning clauses for all units with ventilation requirements.

5.3 Building Facade Constructions

Predicted sound levels at the building facades were used to determine sound insulation requirements of the building envelope. The required acoustic insulation of the wall and window components was determined using methods developed by the National Research Council (NRC).



Exterior Wall Constructions

The exterior walls of the buildings may include precast/masonry panel portions, as well as spandrel glass panels within an aluminum window system. In this analysis, it has been assumed that sound transmitted through elements other than the glazing elements is negligible in comparison. For this assumption to be true, spandrel or metal panel sections must have an insulated drywall partition on separate framing behind.

Exterior Doors

There may be swing doors and some glazed sliding patio doors for entry onto the balconies from living/dining/bedrooms and some bedrooms. The glazing areas on the doors are to be counted as part of the total window glazing area. If exterior swing doors are to be used, they shall be insulated metal doors equipped with head, jamb and threshold weather seals.

Acoustical Requirements for Glazing

At the time of this report, detailed floor plans and elevations are not available. Assuming a typical window to floor area of 60% (40% fixed and 20% operable) for the living/dining rooms and bedrooms, the minimum acoustical requirement for the basic window glazing, including glass in fixed sections, swing or sliding doors, and operable windows, is provided in Table 4.



Table 4: Required Minimum Glazing STC for Specific Facades

Prediction Locations	Description	Glazing STC ^{1, 2,3}
[A]	North façade of Building 1	STC-31
[B]	East façade of Building 1	STC-34
[C]	West façade of Building 1	OBC
[D]	East façade of Building 2	STC-35
[E]	West façade of Building 3	OBC
[F]	North façade of Building 4	STC-31
[G]	East façade of Building 4	STC-35
[H]	South façade of Building 4	STC-35
[I]	West façade of Building 4	OBC
[J]	South façade of Building 7	STC-34
[K]	West façade of Building 5	STC-29

Note:

¹ Based on assumed window to floor area ratios of 60% (40% fixed and 20% operable).

² STC requirement refers to fixed glazing. Small leaks through operable doors and windows are assumed, however, tight weather seals should be provided to reduce such leakage to the extent feasible.

³ Sound entering through windows and walls comprised of precast/masonry panels, and spandrel glass panels

OBC – Ontario Building Code

Note that acoustic performance varies with manufacturer’s construction details, and these are only guidelines to provide some indication of the type of glazing likely to be required. Acoustical test data for the selected assemblies should be requested from the suppliers, to ensure that the stated acoustic performance levels will be achieved by their assemblies.

Further Review

When detailed floor plans and building elevations are available for the buildings, the glazing requirements should be refined based on actual window to floor area ratios.

5.4 Warning Clauses

The MECP guidelines recommend that warning clauses be included in the property and tenancy agreements for all the dwellings with anticipated traffic sound level excesses. The following noise warning clauses are required for specific units for the development.

As required by the Region of Halton:

Type A:

Purchasers/tenants are advised that this development and associated units are in close proximity to a Regional road. Halton Regional roads are classified as major arterial roadways and as such: Serve mainly inter-regional and regional travel demands; May serve an Intensification Corridor; Accommodate all truck traffic; Accommodate higher order transit services and high occupancy vehicle lanes; Connect Urban Areas in different municipalities; Carry high volumes of traffic; Distribute traffic to and from Provincial Freeways and Highways; Accommodate active transportation. Truck traffic is permitted on all Regional roads, and is one of the functions of the Regional road network. Therefore, sound levels due to increasing road traffic may occasionally interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks.

Suggested wording for future dwellings with sound level excesses.

Type B:

Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to increasing road traffic may occasionally interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment.

Suggested wording for future dwellings with daytime OLA sound levels exceeding the MECP criteria by 6 dB or more, for which physical mitigation has been provided is given below.

Type C:

Purchasers/tenants are advised that despite the inclusion of noise control features in the development and within the building units, sound levels due to increasing road traffic may on occasions interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as sound



levels exceed the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks.

Suggested wording for future dwellings requiring central air conditioning systems is given below.

Type D:

This dwelling unit has been supplied with a central air conditioning system which will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks.

These sample clauses are provided by the MECP as examples and can be modified by the Municipality as required.

As required by the Region of Halton:

Type E:

Purchasers/tenants are advised that balconies and the common rooftop terrace are not eligible under the retrofit provisions of the Region's Noise Attenuation Policy/Noise Abatement Guidelines as these do not qualify as Outdoor Living Areas.

6 Impact of the Development on Itself

Section 5.8.1.1 of the Ontario Building Code (OBC), released on January 1, 2020, specifies the minimum required sound insulation characteristics for demising partitions, in terms of Sound Transmission Class (STC) or Apparent Sound Transmission Class (ASTC) values. In order to maintain adequate acoustical privacy between separate suites in a multi-tenant building, inter-suite walls must meet or exceed STC-50 or ASTC-47. Suite separation from a refuse chute or elevator shaft must meet or exceed STC-55. In addition, it is recommended that the floor/ceiling constructions separating suites from any amenity or commercial spaces also meet or exceed STC-55. Tables 1 and 2 in Section SB-3 of the Supplementary Guideline to the OBC provide a comprehensive list of constructions that will meet the above requirements.

Tarion's Builder Bulletin B19R requires the internal design of condominium projects to integrate suitable acoustic features to insulate the suites from noise from each other and amenities in accordance with the OBC, and limit the potential intrusions of mechanical and electrical services



of the buildings on its residents. If B19R certification is needed, an acoustical consultant is required to review the mechanical and electrical drawings and details of demising constructions and mechanical/electrical equipment, when available, to help ensure that the noise impact of the development on itself is maintained within acceptable levels.

7 Impact of the Development on the Environment

Sound levels from stationary (non-traffic) sources of noise such as rooftop air-conditioners, cooling towers, exhaust fans, etc. should not exceed the minimum one-hour L_{EQ} ambient (background) sound level from road traffic, at any potentially impacted residential point of reception. Based on the levels observed during our site visit, the typical minimum ambient sound levels in the area are expected to be 50 dBA or more during the day and 45 dBA or more at night. Thus any electro-mechanical equipment associated with this development (e.g. emergency generator testing, fresh-air handling equipment, etc.) should be designed with these targets in mind such that they do not result in noise impact beyond these ranges.

8 Summary of Recommendations

The following list and Table 5 summarize the recommendations made in this report. The reader is referred to previous sections of the report where these recommendations are applied and discussed in more detail.

1. Central air conditioning is required for all of the proposed residential buildings and the future building on the holdout property.
2. Upgraded building and glazing constructions are required for the proposed buildings, and the future building on the holdout property, with direct exposure to Regional Road 25 or Britannia Road. When detailed floor plans and building elevations are available, the glazing constructions should be refined based on actual window to floor area ratios.
3. Noise warning clauses to inform the occupants of the sound level excesses should be placed in the property and tenancy agreements and offers of purchase and sale.



Table 5: Summary of Traffic Noise Control Requirements & Noise Warning Clauses

Prediction Location	Location	Acoustic Barrier	Ventilation Requirements*	Type of Warning Clause	Required STC ⁺		
[A]	North façade of Building 1	--	Air Conditioning	A, B, C, D, E	STC-31		
[B]	East façade of Building 1	--			STC-34		
[C]	West façade of Building 1	--			OBC		
[D]	East façade of Building 2	--			STC-35		
[E]	West façade of Building 3	--			OBC		
[F]	North façade of Building 4	--			STC-31		
[G]	East façade of Building 4	--			STC-35		
[H]	South façade of Building 4	--			STC-35		
[I]	West façade of Building 4	--			OBC		
[J]	East façade of Building 7	--			STC-34		
[K]	South façade of Building 5	--			STC-29		
[L]	16 th Floor terrace of Building 1	--			--	--	--
[M]	12 th Floor terrace of Building 3	--			--	--	--
[N]	15 th Floor terrace of Building 4	--	--	--	--		
[O]	13 th Floor terrace of Building 6	--	--	--	--		

Notes:

-- no specific requirement

✓ Noise barrier recommendations are outlined in Section 5.1 and shall be revised when grading information is available. The height of the barriers shall be selected subject to the approval of the Municipality.

* The location, installation and sound rating of the air conditioning condensers must be compliant with MECP Guideline NPC-300, as applicable.

+ With assumed window to floor area ratios of 60% for living rooms/dining rooms and bedrooms. When detailed floor plans and building elevations are available, an acoustical consultant should review the drawings to refine the window glazing constructions based on actual window to floor area ratios, and to verify exterior wall construction.


8.1 Implementation

To ensure that the noise control recommendations outlined above are fully implemented, it is recommended that:

1. Prior to the issuance of building permits for this development, the Municipality’s building inspector or a Professional Engineer qualified to perform acoustical engineering services in the Province of Ontario should certify that the noise control measures have been properly incorporated.
2. Prior to assumption of the subdivision, the Municipality’s building inspector or a Professional Engineer qualified to perform acoustical engineering services in the Province of Ontario should certify that the noise control measures have been properly, installed and constructed.



Figure 1 - Key Plan




KEYPLAN

BRITANNIA ROAD

ETHERIDGE AVENUE

NATURAL HERITAGE SYSTEM (NHS)




ROAD NO. 25 (REGIONAL ROAD)

02	ISSUED FOR BIA	31 AUGUST 2023
01	ISSUED FOR BIA	24 JULY 2023
No.	Revisions	Date
ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION.		
CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.		

COREARCHITECTS
 TORONTO OFFICE: 100 EAST GERRARD ST. E. TORONTO, ONT. M4M 1B7
 T: +1 416 340-0100 F: +1 416 340-0101
 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
 WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

FRAMGARD MATTAMY

MILTON WEST, ONTARIO




Drawn JA	Scale 1:500
Checked BL	Date 2023-12-21


SOUTH BLOCK
SITE PLAN

Project No. 22-210 Drawing No. **A251**

Figure 2a - Proposed Site Plan Showing Prediction Locations



KEYPLAN




O. 25 (REGIONAL ROAD)

02	ISSUED FOR IBA	31 AUGUST 2023
01	ISSUED FOR IBA	24 JULY 2023
No.	Revisions	Date
ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE THE COPYRIGHT PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN PART OR WHOLE IS FORBIDDEN WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION.		
CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON THE JOB.		

COREARCHITECTS
 TORONTO OFFICE: 100 EAST WILSON AVENUE, WEST TOWER
 TORONTO, ONTARIO M4M 1B7
 T +1 416 463-0100 • F +1 416 463-0101
 INFO@COREARCHITECTS.COM
 WWW.COREARCHITECTS.COM

FRAMGARD MATTAMY

MILTON WEST, ONTARIO



Drawn JA	Scale 1:500
Checked BL	Date 2023-12-21

THE NORTH BLOCK SITE PLAN

Project No. 22-210	Drawing No. A201
-----------------------	----------------------------

Figure 2b - Proposed Site Plan Showing Prediction Locations

APPENDIX A

Responses to Comments from the Region of Halton



ACOUSTICS



NOISE



VIBRATION

Responses to Comments from The Region of Halton

Please see HGC Engineering responses to comments from the Region of Halton in *italics* below.

Noise Feasibility Study (NFS) Requirement:

The Region has reviewed the “Noise Feasibility Study Proposed Residential Development North of Britannia Road and West of Regional Road 25, Town of Milton, Ontario” prepared by HGC Engineering Ltd. (dated July 2023) and requires an update to the Noise Feasibility Study (NFS) to address the following comments:

1. Future Traffic Volume Projections

The future traffic volumes used in the NFS analysis are for the 2033 horizon and were forecasted by inflating the provided 2031 future traffic volume data for Regional Road 25 and Britannia Road to 2033. Given that the provided volumes are based on theoretical capacity thresholds for Regional Road 25 and Britannia Road, and for consistency with other noise studies completed in the area, the 2031 horizon year and corresponding future traffic volume data should be maintained for the noise analysis. This approach may also improve the noise analysis results within the study.

Noted. Regional traffic projections have been reverted to 2031 volumes.

2. Proposed Acoustic Barrier – West side of Phase 4

The NFS recommends a 2.4m high acoustic barrier for the outdoor area on the west side of Phase 4 (prediction location “L”). Per Halton Region’s pre-consultation comments and NFS Terms of Reference comments, every effort should be made where possible in planning the development layout so that future Outdoor Living Areas (OLAs) do not require physical mitigation measures from Regional Roads (i.e. acoustic barrier).

If an alternate site layout where noise mitigation is not required for an outdoor area for Phase 4 is not feasible, then the NFS must verify that this area meets the criteria for an Outdoor Living Area (OLA) eligible for noise mitigation per the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) guidelines, Halton Region’s Noise Abatement Guidelines and Halton Region’s Noise Abatement Policy.

If this outdoor area meets the criteria for an OLA eligible for noise mitigation, then the NFS should clarify that the proposed acoustic barrier would be owned and maintained by the property owner. The following warning clauses would have to be recommended in the NFS to be registered on title for all units within Building 4 of Phase 4:

- “Purchasers/tenants are advised that balconies and the common rooftop terrace are not eligible under the retrofit provisions of the Region’s Noise Attenuation Policy/Noise Abatement Guidelines as these do not qualify as Outdoor Living



ACOUSTICS



NOISE



VIBRATION

Areas. An acoustically protected Outdoor Living Area will be provided on the common ground level.”

- “Purchasers/tenants are advised that a noise barrier is required to mitigate noise from the Regional Road network to the area defined as the outdoor amenity located at the ground level on the east side of the mid-rise building. The noise barrier will need to be maintained in good condition by the property owner. The ownership and future maintenance of the noise barrier is the responsibility of the current and future private property owners (condominium corporation) in perpetuity.”

Noted. The revised landscaped plan shows the any at grade amenity spaces on the west side of the development and further from Britannia Road West. No further mitigation is recommended for at grade amenity spaces. Warning clauses have been included in the report where appropriate.

3. Holdout Property

A holdout property has been identified within the north block, and a Concept Plan has been prepared for this holdout property in the context of the overall development proposal. However, the NFS does not consider the holdout property in the development proposal description nor analysis. Consideration should be given to including this holdout property as part of the NFS analysis in case the property is acquired in the future.

The requirements for this building are expected to be similar to the surrounding buildings given the preliminary setback shown in the drawings. Preliminary requirements have been included in the revised report.

4. Additional Warning Clause

The following warning clause must be added to the recommended warning clauses to be registered on title for all units within buildings with common rooftop terraces (excluding Building 4 of Phase 4, as detailed above in Comment #2):

- “Purchasers/tenants are advised that balconies and the common rooftop terrace are not eligible under the retrofit provisions of the Region’s Noise Attenuation Policy/Noise Abatement Guidelines as these do not qualify as Outdoor Living Areas.”

The additional warning clause has been included in the updated report for all buildings with common rooftop amenity spaces.

5. Recommendations Figures

The figures in the NFS illustrating the proposed acoustic barrier locations should also illustrate the other noise mitigation recommendations in the NFS for both



ACOUSTICS



NOISE



VIBRATION

blocks, including forced central air conditioning and provisions for central air conditioning.

Acoustic barriers are no longer recommended in the updated report and this figure has been eliminated. All the proposed buildings require air conditioning based on the predicted sound levels.



ACOUSTICS



NOISE



VIBRATION

APPENDIX B

Road Traffic Information



ACOUSTICS



NOISE



VIBRATION

Victor Garcia

From: Loro, Darren <Darren.Loro@halton.ca>
Sent: January 19, 2023 3:39 PM
To: Victor Garcia
Cc: Lazarevic, Velimir; Krusto, Matt
Subject: RE: 6096 Regional Road 25 Noise Study Scope

Hi Victor,


Thank you for circulating your proposed Terms of Reference for the 6096 Regional Road 25 Noise Study. Please see Transportation Planning's comments below in [blue](#).

Let us know if you have any questions or want to discuss further!

Cheers,
Darren

Darren Loro, C.E.T.

Project Manager I – Transportation Planning Coordination
Infrastructure Planning & Policy
Public Works
Halton Region
905-825-6000, ext. 2694 | 1-866-442-5866



This message, including any attachments, is intended only for the person(s) named above and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Any use, distribution, copying or disclosure by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately by telephone or e-mail and permanently delete the original transmission from us, including any attachments, without making a copy.

From: Victor Garcia <vgarcia@hgcengineering.com>
Sent: January 16, 2023 12:31 PM
To: Krusto, Matt <Matt.Krusto@halton.ca>; Lazarevic, Velimir <Velimir.Lazarevic@halton.ca>
Subject: 6096 Regional Road 25 Noise Study Scope

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. If you are unsure or need assistance please contact the IT Service Desk.

Good afternoon,

We have been asked to reach out to you to pass along our scope for the noise feasibility study for a proposed development located at 6096 Regional Road in Milton, Ontario for your approval.

Please see below:

To complete the noise feasibility study, we propose the following course of action:

1. A site visit will be performed to identify all significant noise sources, make observations of the acoustical features of the site and note any beneficial shielding by nearby buildings, as well as the relationship of the roadways to the proposed development. We will also note any commercial (for example, the existing area) and industries in the area and make observations to determine typical determine their activities and hours of operation. Any such facilities, with noise sources that may present a concern will be noted and flagged for further detailed studies, if required. [Acceptable](#).
2. Road traffic will be obtained from the municipality or Region. The data will be extrapolated to year 2033 in accordance with Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP). We have older data in our files (from 2018) which will be verified to be valid. [Per our correspondence dated January 5, 2023, we advised that the previous 2031 horizon year analysis inputs that were provided for Britannia Road and Regional Road 25 could be applied to this study. However, the previous parameters were established in January 2015 and thus are outdated compared to Halton Region's current typical noise analysis inputs. The following updated parameters should be applied for the 2031 horizon year analysis:](#)

[Britannia Road West](#)

[AADT: 51,000 veh/day](#)

[Trucks: 3% medium/3% heavy](#)

[Lanes: 6](#)

[Regional Road 25](#)

[AADT: 51,000 veh/day](#)

[Trucks: 3% medium/3% heavy](#)

[Lanes: 6](#)

3. In accordance with MECP standard assessment procedures, the future traffic volumes will be used ('ultimate' values, or ten-year future projections as appropriate), to estimate future traffic noise levels anticipated for the mature state of the development. MECP computer software will be used. [Acceptable, as long as the analysis conforms to Halton Region's Noise Abatement Policy and Noise Abatement Guidelines.](#)
4. The predicted noise levels will be compared to the guidelines of the MECP, Region and the Municipality. [Acceptable, as long as the analysis conforms to Halton Region's Noise Abatement Policy and Noise Abatement Guidelines.](#)
5. If excesses of the noise guidelines are found, recommendations for conceptual control measures will be provided. These may include general recommendations relating to building facade and glazing components, mechanical ventilation or air conditioning and noise berms/barriers. For typical window-to-floor areas (which depend on the internal layout), some preliminary alternatives for glazing constructions that meet these requirements will be presented. We will also identify any outdoor areas for which additional shielding should be considered (local barriers, etc.), and typical wording for warning clauses that should be registered on title of impacted suites. General noise warning clauses will also be provided as specified by the MECP and the Town. If detailed floor plans and building elevations are available, refined glazing STC can be provided. [Acceptable.](#)
[Please consider the following comments:](#)
 - [Every effort should be made where possible in planning the development layout so that future Outdoor Living Areas \(OLAs\) do not require physical mitigation measures from Regional Roads \(i.e. acoustic barrier\).](#)
 - [If an acoustic barrier is required to mitigate noise levels generated by a Regional road for OLAs to 55-60 dBA, a barrier height should be selected that would yield 57 dBA without exceeding the Region's maximum noise barrier height allowance of 3.5 metres or falling below the Region's minimum noise barrier height allowance of 2.4 metres. A target 57 dBA provides a good balance between noise barrier height and dBA mitigation.](#)

- If an acoustic barrier is required to mitigate noise levels generated by a Regional road for OLAs, then easements adjacent to the barrier will need to be provided within private lots/blocks or municipal blocks to allow Regional staff access for maintenance purposes. A 1m easement would be required on the inside of the barrier (e.g. facing the residential development) and a 2m easement would be required on the outside of the barrier (facing the Regional road).
 - The following Regional warning clause will need to be registered on title for all proposed residential units:
 - *“Purchasers/tenants are advised that this development and associated units are in close proximity to a Regional road. Halton Regional roads are classified as major arterial roadways and as such: Serve mainly inter-regional and regional travel demands; May serve an Intensification Corridor; Accommodate all truck traffic; Accommodate higher order transit services and high occupancy vehicle lanes; Connect Urban Areas in different municipalities; Carry high volumes of traffic; Distribute traffic to and from Provincial Freeways and Highways; Accommodate active transportation. Truck traffic is permitted on all Regional roads, and is one of the functions of the Regional road network. Therefore, sound levels due to increasing road traffic may occasionally interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks.”*
 - Ground floor patios adjacent to Regional road active transportation infrastructure are not considered by the Region to be OLAs eligible for noise mitigation. Further, these ground floor patios would have to be visually defined so that the perception of the patio size is clear.
 - As a future condition of approval, the applicant’s architect must provide Halton Region with a signed letter confirming that all recommended noise mitigation measures have been implemented.
 - Additional Regional warning clauses may be provided upon review of the Noise Study and upon review of more detailed architectural site drawings.
6. A report summarising the measurements, predictions, calculations and recommendations will be prepared. Acceptable. Please append a figure to the report illustrating all recommended noise mitigation measures for the proposed development.

Regards,

Victor Garcia, P.Eng
Associate

HGC Engineering NOISE | VIBRATION | ACOUSTICS
Howe Gastmeier Chapnik Limited
 2000 Argentia Road, Plaza One, Suite 203, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada L5N 1P7
 t: 905.826.4044 e: vgarcia@hgcengineering.com
 Visit our website – www.hgcengineering.com Follow Us – [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#)

This e-mail and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail, delete this e-mail and destroy any copies. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal. Any conclusions or recommendations provided by HGC Engineering in this e-mail or any attachments have [limitations](#).

Victor Garcia

From: Nathan H. Yau <yau@bagroup.com>
Sent: January 27, 2023 4:43 PM
To: Victor Garcia
Cc: Deanna Green; Anthony Sotomayor
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: Framgard: Noise Study

Hi Victor,

AADT and Heavy Vehicle percentage along Etheridge is provided below for three sections of the road (see the green heading of the table below). Volumes are based on future conditions with the complete site buildout. Let me know if you have any questions.

Location	AM Peak Hour		PM Peak Hour		Daily	
	Volume	HV%	Volume	HV%	AADT	A
Just WEST of RR25 / Etheridge Rd Intersection	850	2.04%	738	1.88%	7710	
Just EAST of Etheridge Rd / Site Dwy Intersection	739	0.96%	907	1.00%	9145	
Just WEST of Etheridge Rd / Site Dwy Intersection	384	1.95%	487	1.94%	4815	

Peak Hour Traffic = AVERAGE (AM,PM) = 9% × AADT

Thanks,
Nathan

From: Anthony Sotomayor <Anthony.Sotomayor@mattamycorp.com>
Sent: January 27, 2023 4:03 PM
To: Victor Garcia <vgarcia@hgcengineering.com>; Deanna Green <Deanna.Green@bagroup.com>
Cc: Nathan H. Yau <yau@bagroup.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: Framgard: Noise Study

Hi Deanna,

Further to our meeting yesterday, would be able to provide Victor with the traffic data assuming traffic flows out of Etheridge for the North and South Parcel?

APPENDIX C

Sample STAMSON 5.04 Output



ACOUSTICS



NOISE



VIBRATION

Filename: b.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours

Description: East facade of Buidling 1

Road data, segment # 1: RR25 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 43146/4794 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1377/153 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1377/153 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 70 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 51000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 2.50
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 3.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 3.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 90.00

Data for Segment # 1: RR25 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 27.70 / 31.00 m
Receiver height : 43.50 / 43.50 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00[^]

Road data, segment # 2: Britannia (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 43146/4794 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1377/153 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1377/153 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 70 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 51000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 2.50
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 3.00



ACOUSTICS



NOISE



VIBRATION

Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 3.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 90.00

Data for Segment # 2: Britannia (day/night)

 Angle1 Angle2 : 0.00 deg 90.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 285.00 / 285.00 m
 Receiver height : 43.50 / 43.50 m
 Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)
 Reference angle : 0.00

Results segment # 1: RR25 (day)

 Source height = 1.32 m

ROAD (0.00 + 72.12 + 0.00) = 72.12 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
-90	90	0.00	74.79	0.00	-2.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	72.12

Segment Leq : 72.12 dBA

Results segment # 2: Britannia (day)

 Source height = 1.32 m

ROAD (0.00 + 58.99 + 0.00) = 58.99 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	90	0.00	74.79	0.00	-12.79	-3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.99

Segment Leq : 58.99 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 72.33 dBA

Results segment # 1: RR25 (night)

 Source height = 1.32 m

ROAD (0.00 + 65.10 + 0.00) = 65.10 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq

-90 90 0.00 68.26 0.00 -3.15 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 65.10

Segment Leq : 65.10 dBA

Results segment # 2: Britannia (night)

Source height = 1.32 m

ROAD (0.00 + 52.46 + 0.00) = 52.46 dBA

Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

0 90 0.00 68.26 0.00 -12.79 -3.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 52.46

Segment Leq : 52.46 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 65.33 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 72.33 dBA
(NIGHT): 65.33 dBA



ACOUSTICS



NOISE



VIBRATION

Filename: g.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours

Description: East facade of Building 4

Road data, segment # 1: RR25 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 43146/4794 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1377/153 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1377/153 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 70 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 51000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 2.50
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 3.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 3.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 90.00

Data for Segment # 1: RR25 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 26.90 / 26.90 m
Receiver height : 40.50 / 40.50 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

Road data, segment # 2: Britannia (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 43146/4794 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1377/153 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1377/153 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 70 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 51000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 2.50
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00



Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 3.00
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 3.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 90.00

Data for Segment # 2: Britannia (day/night)

 Angle1 Angle2 : 0.00 deg 90.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 47.30 / 47.30 m
 Receiver height : 40.50 / 40.50 m
 Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)
 Reference angle : 0.00

Results segment # 1: RR25 (day)

 Source height = 1.32 m

ROAD (0.00 + 72.25 + 0.00) = 72.25 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
-90	90	0.00	74.79	0.00	-2.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	72.25

 Segment Leq : 72.25 dBA

Results segment # 2: Britannia (day)

 Source height = 1.32 m

ROAD (0.00 + 66.79 + 0.00) = 66.79 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	90	0.00	74.79	0.00	-4.99	-3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	66.79

 Segment Leq : 66.79 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 73.34 dBA

Results segment # 1: RR25 (night)

 Source height = 1.32 m

ROAD (0.00 + 65.72 + 0.00) = 65.72 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq

-90 90 0.00 68.26 0.00 -2.54 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 65.72

Segment Leq : 65.72 dBA

Results segment # 2: Britannia (night)

Source height = 1.32 m

ROAD (0.00 + 60.26 + 0.00) = 60.26 dBA

Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

0 90 0.00 68.26 0.00 -4.99 -3.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 60.26

Segment Leq : 60.26 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 66.81 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 73.34 dBA
(NIGHT): 66.81 dBA



ACOUSTICS



NOISE



VIBRATION